1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6	IN LIMITED STATES DISTRICT COLIDT			
7	IN UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON			
8				
9	KATIE REIDL,			
10	Plaintiff, COMPLAINT (Jury Trial Requested)			
11	VS.			
12	KENNETH J. BRAITHWAITE, SECRETARY OF THE NAVY,			
13	Defendant.			
14	The Plaintiff, KATIE REIDL, by and through her undersigned attorney hereby makes the following			
15	claims and allegations:			
16 17	I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE			
18	1.1 The Plaintiff initiated contact with the EEO on November 4, 2019 (ROI 16).			
19	1.2 The Plaintiff initiated a complaint of discrimination with the EEO office at the Puget Sound			
20	Naval Shipyard and Intermediate Maintenance Facility, alleging that she had been			
21	discriminated against on the following bases (ROI 17):			
22	a) Physical Disability (PTSD with mild cognitive impairment due to residuals of			
23	meningioma surgery)			
24	b) Mental Disability (PTSD with mild cognitive impairment due to residuals of			
25	meningioma surgery)			
26				
27	c) Reprisal			
28	Longshot Law, Inc. P.O. Box 1575			

- 1.3 The EEO issued Plaintiff a notice of her right to file a formal complaint on February 7, 2020.(ROI 16)
- 1.4 Plaintiff timely filed a formal charge of discrimination with the EEO on February 20, 2020, within 15 days of receiving the notice of right to file a formal complaint. (ROI 16)
- 1.5 On March 26, 2020, the Plaintiff timely submitted a notice of deficiency to the Defendant regarding claims accepted for review and noted that the following claims should be included in the Defendant's EEO formal investigation:
 - 1. She was told that her requalification practical had been cancelled'
 - 2. She was subjected to a disciplinary investigation (fact finding) by Dave Donovan regarding what he termed as an "incident that occurred this morning." This turned out to be an inquiry actually directed to the FAM issue which had occurred in October. The investigation was in response to a complaint allegedly made by James Shaw alleging that Ms. Reidl had created a hostile work environment for him in October as a result of the FAM discussion. (You did mention this one under Claim 3 in your letter).
 - 3. After Ms. Reidl informed Ms. Cochran, her branch head, that she believed she was being subjected to harassment and retaliation from Mr. Shaw, Ms. Cochran failed to take prompt or effective action to address the complaint.
- 1.6 On April 10, 2020, the Defendant's Equal Employment Opportunity Deputy Director issued Plaintiff a notice of acknowledgement of Partial Dismissal and Acceptance of Claims, which included 5 claims, including: (ROI 48-49)

LONGSHOT LAW, INC. P.O. BOX 1575 PORT ORCHARD, WA 98366 425-999-0900

- a) Claim 1. Complainant alleges that based on disability (mental and physical) and reprisal
 (no EEO activity or opposition identified) she was discriminated against when on 6
 December 2019, Complainant resigned (constructive discharge) from her position.
- b) Claim 2. Complainant alleges that based on disability (mental and physical) and reprisal (no EEO activity or opposition identified) she was subjected to workplace harassment resulting in a hostile work environment when:
 - In November 2019, she was questioned by Code 105.3 management about the Familiarization Training (FAM) incident from October 2019 and she was asked to write a statement of fact;
 - In October 2019, Mr. Shaw humiliated Complainant and repeatedly stated she was "wrong" during FAM training;
 - 3) In November 2018, Ms. Cochran made jokes about Complainant's disability during a conference meeting to include saying "she communicated using animal noises";
 - 4) In June 2018, Mr. Shaw on multiple occasions, spoke aggressively and/or yelled at Complainant; and
 - 5) In June 2018, Mr. Shaw accused Complainant of spreading rumors about him and subsequently was questioned by multiple individuals during a private meeting.
- 1.7 Plaintiff's informal and formal complaints to the EEO alleged that Defendant had engaged in violations of the Rehabilitation act of 1973, 29 U.S.C. § 701 et seq.
- 1.8 On August 13, 2020, defendant notified Plaintiff that it had completed its formal investigation of Plaintiff's allegations of discrimination.

1.9	On September 9, 2020, Defendant issued Plaintiff a Final Agency Decision regarding her
	allegation that, on December 6, 2019, she was constructively discharged from employment
	with the Defendant.
1.10	The Plaintiff has exhausted administrative remedies as to the claims raised in this Complaint,
	has now opted to pursue this case in the U.S. District Court.
1.11	This Complaint was filed within 90 days of Plaintiff's receipt of the September 9, 2020 Final
	Agency Decision and right to sue.
1.5	All parties and subject matter herein mentioned are within the jurisdiction of this Honorable
	Court.
	II. STATUS OF PLAINTIFF
2.1	The Plaintiff, Katie Reidl, has resided in the County of Kitsap at all times material to this
	Complaint.
2.2	The Plaintiff suffers from a permanent medical condition, PTSD with mild cognitive
	impairment due to residuals of meningioma surgery.
2.3	Plaintiff is a qualified individual with a disability, as defined under the Rehabilitation Act of
	1973.
	III. STATUS OF DEFENDANT
3.1	The Defendant is a Federal Agency and is an employer within the scope of the definition of
	employer under the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, and is subject to the requirements and
	prohibitions of the act.
3.2	Defendant was Plaintiff's employer.
	IV. FACTS
4.1	Sections I, II, and III are hereby incorporated, verbatim.
	Longshot Law, Inc. P.O. Box 1575

1	I	
1	4.2	Plaintiff was diagnosed with Depression and anxiety disorders in 2008 by doctors from the
2		Veteran's Administration.
3	4.3	In February of 2019, Plaintiff was diagnosed with Post Traumatic Stress Disorder by her
4		medical provider from the Veteran's Administration.
5	4.4	In February of 2019, Plaintiff submitted medical documentation of her medical conditions to
7		the Defendant.
8	4.5	Without mitigation, Plaintiff's medical condition caused her occupational and social
9		impairment, difficulty in establishing work and social relationships, near continuous panic
10		affecting her ability to function socially, memory loss and anxiety.
11	4.6	Plaintiff worked for Defendant as a Physical Science Technician.
12	4.7	Plaintiff controlled the symptoms of her medical condition with medication, speech therapy,
13		and counseling sufficiently to be able to perform the essential functions of her position as a
14 15		Physical Science Technician.
16	4.8	From October of 2017 to January of 2018, Plaintiff had been assigned to a GS-12 position
17	4.0	
18	4.0	temporarily.
19	4.9	In or about April of May of 2018, Plaintiff notified her supervisor that she was seeing a speech
20		pathologist for a service-related disability and that, due to her service-related disability, she
21		did not want to pursue, at that time, a promotion to a supervisor position.
22	4.10	Plaintiff, instead, notified her supervisor that she would be ready to fulfill the duties of a GS-
23		11, non-supervisory position.
24	4.11	Plaintiff's supervisor declined to place Plaintiff in the GS-11 non-supervisory position and
25		subjected her to a forced backshift notice.
2627		
28		
- 0		Longshot Law, Inc. P.O. Box 1575

1

- 4.12 In June of 2018, Plaintiff opposed Defendant's denial of her application for the GS-11 position and the forced backshift notice as discrimination based on consideration of her disability.
- 4.13 During the following months, Plaintiff was subjected to harassment from supervisors and coworkers motivated by reprisal against her for having asserted that she had a disability and motivated by reprisal for her having opposed discrimination by her supervisor, including:
 - a) On 6-8-18, while Plaintiff was in a training class presented by James Shaw, Mr. Shaw confronted her in the class, accusing her of being "part of the problem" and referred to her as "entitled;"
 - b) During a two week "think tank" group in which Plaintiff participated, Mr. Shaw routinely became aggressive and loud with her and other participants, exacerbating the symptoms of Plaintiff's disabilities;
 - c) On June 11, 2018, Plaintiff explained to Mr. Shaw that his loud confrontational behavior was exacerbating her disability (anxiety disorder and PTSD). After acknowledging that she had disclosed a disability to him and requested that he avoid loud aggressive behavior as an accommodation, he continued to exhibit this behavior towards Plaintiff;
 - d) Plaintiff reported to supervisors Jacob Deacon and Maxwell Hughey that she was being harassed by Mr. Shaw, to which they would respond "that's just how James is" without taking any remedial action;
 - e) Plaintiff reported that she was being harassed by Mr. Shaw to her supervisor, Branch Head Kristi Cochran and Mr. Donovan, both of whom told her that Mr. Shaw was simply "passionate" while failing to take any remedial action.
 - f) On 6-26-18, Plaintiff received notice that she was being forced to backshift

1	
4.25	On November 8, 2019, Plaintiff met with Defendant's EEO counselor to make a complaint of
	discrimination.
4.26	As a result of the defendant's actions in causing and allowing continuing, severe and pervasive
	harassment and retaliation against the Plaintiff, the Plaintiff suffered severe emotional distress
	which exacerbated her disability.
4.27	Defendant's intention, in continuing to harass and allow harassment of the Plaintiff at work
	was to force Plaintiff to resign from her employment.
4.28	On November 22, 2019, Plaintiff gave the Defendant two weeks' notice of her resignation
	date of December 6, 2019.
4.29	On December 6, 2019, Plaintiff resigned from her employment with the Defendant and was
	constructively discharged.
	V. FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION HARASSMENT BASED ON DISABILITY and RETALIATION In violation of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973
5.1	The Defendant is an employer subject to the requirements and prohibitions set forth in the
	Rehabilitation Act of 1973.
5.2	The Plaintiff is a qualified individual with a disability, as defined under the Rehabilitation
	Act of 1973.
5.3	Plaintiff disclosed her disabilities to her supervisors and asked for consideration or
	accommodation in the form of:
	a) Plaintiff asked her supervisor, Ms. Cochran, to allow Plaintiff to stay in her position
	rather than be promoted;
	Longshot Law, Inc. P.O. Box 1575

- b) Plaintiff explained to Mr. Shaw that she suffered from debilitating anxiety when he was unnecessarily loud and physically and emotionally aggressive towards her and others in a classroom setting and asked him to tone down his presentation.
- Ms. Cochran, Plaintiff's supervisor belittled and mocked Plaintiff because of her disability and the symptoms of her disability in a manner so severe and pervasive that it rose to the level of a hostile work environment for Plaintiff.
- Mr. Shaw, who was in a supervisory-type role, responsible for teaching Plaintiff skills and information that she needed in order to maintain certifications to be able to do her job, knowingly subjected Plaintiff to belligerent, mocking, aggressive and loud behavior as her instructor which was so severe and pervasive that it created a hostile working environment for Plaintiff after being informed that this behavior exacerbated the symptoms of her disability.
- Defendant failed to take prompt or effective remedial actions to stop or address the unwanted harassment to which Plaintiff was being subjected at work.
- As a direct and proximate result of the Defendant's knowing and willful unlawful actions, the Plaintiff:
 - a) Suffered severe emotional distress;
 - b) Required medical treatment;
 - c) Suffered the loss of her employment position due to the results of emotional distress brough
 on by Defendant's unlawful actions and omissions and the benefits thereof;
 - d) Incurred attorney's fees and costs of this action.

VI. FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION CONSTRCUTIVE DISCHARGE In violation of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973

> LONGSHOT LAW, INC. P.O. BOX 1575 PORT ORCHARD, WA 98366 425-999-0900

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff prays for judgment against the Defendant, for all damages, reasonable attorney's fees and costs and equitable remedies set forth in this complaint and/or allowed, provided for or permitted by the common law, statutory law, by the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 in such an amount as shall be determined by the finder of fact under the evidence presented at trial. November 29, 2020

s/Chalmers C. Johnson

CHALMERS C. JOHNSON, WSBA #40180

LONGSHOT LAW, INC. P.O. Box 1575 PORT ORCHARD, WA 98366 425-999-0900